

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ı	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,517	-	07/29/2003	Harry Mussman	5630/6	6058	
25764	7590	11/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FAEGRE 6			ELALLAM, AHMED			
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAP	OLIS, MI	N 55402		2668		
				DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/629,517	MUSSMAN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		

	ARIMED ELALLAM	2000							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further contained to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See continuation</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		jected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	· ••	ompliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(F10L-324).						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-43</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)							

Art Unit: 2668

Continuation:

The amendment to independent claim 1, in which the following limitations being introduced "the origination endpoint and the destination endpoint are located at different sites, and are associated with private dialing plan (PDP) number identified in the communication", and "appending to the PDP number a customer-specific identifier (CSID) that uniquely identifies one of the sites" have changed the scope of the claim and that would require an updated search and further reconsideration.

The amendment to independent claim 20, in which the following limitations being introduced "a call mediator receiving a communication sent from the origination endpoint to the destination endpoint, the communication including the private dialing plan PDP number, the call mediator appending a customer-specific identifier (CSID) to the PDP number, wherein the CSID uniquely identifies either the origination enterprise or the destination enterprise", and "the gatekeeper further programmed to distinguish between the origination enterprise based on the CSID" have changed the scope of the claim and that would require an updated search and further reconsideration.

The amendment to independent claim 43, in which the following limitations being introduced "the destination telephone number being in a private dialing plan (PDP) format associated with a site corresponding to the destination endpoint", and "appending an site identifier to the PDP number that identifies the site of the destination telephone endpoint", have changed the scope of the claim and that would require an updated search and further reconsideration.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,517 Page 3

Art Unit: 2668

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHMED ELALLAM Examiner Art Unit 2668 10/31/2005

> CHIEH M. FAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

had to I